

- $1/2$

against the petitioner and that the false case will cause tremendous hardship to the petitioner, in the event, the FIR is not quashed.

4 Learned A.P.P opposed the petition. She submitted that there are specific allegations levelled against the petitioner in the complaint/FIR.

5 Perused the FIR lodged by the complainant i.e. respondent No. 2. In the said complaint/FIR dated 9th April 2019, the respondent No.2- Sheetal Uday Khaire (complainant) has specifically, and in detail, set out the acts of the petitioner i.e. with respect to outraging of her modesty.

6 Having perused the FIR, a *prima facie* case is made out as against the petitioner. Accordingly, we do not deem it fit to intervene in the said case in exercise of our writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Petition is accordingly dismissed.

7 It is made clear that the observations made herein are *prima facie* for the purpose of deciding this petition.

8 All concerned to act on the copy of this order, digitally signed by the Senior Private Secretary of this Court.

M. S. KARNIK, J.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.