

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.502 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.3122 OF 2013

Smt. Kamble Manisha Navnath Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Others Respondents

Mr. Sadashivan i/by Mr. N.M. Ganguli for the Petitioner.
Ms Neha Bhide, 'B' Panel Counsel, for the Respondent
-State.

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
R.I. CHAGLA, JJ.

DATE : FEBRUARY 03, 2020

P.C:

1. We do not think that a contempt petition is the remedy of the petitioner-widow to claim family pension of her husband by relying upon the Division Bench order of this Court.

2. The petitioner, if aggrieved by non-payment of such pension, must file substantive proceedings and that would include filing a Writ Petition to claim family pension, if payable. The contempt petition is entirely misconceived and is dismissed.

(R.I. CHAGLA, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)

SURESH
JAGDISH
SAJNAWAT

Digitally signed
by SURESH
JAGDISH
SAJNAWAT
Date:
2020.02.07
12:16:06 +0530