

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 376 OF 2018**

Iliyas H. Qureshi ... Petitioner  
V/s.  
State of Maharashtra and ors. ... Respondents

-----

Mr. U. P. Warunjikar for the Petitioner.  
Ms K.N. Solunke, AGP for the Respondent – State.  
Mr. R.M. Momin for Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

-----

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &  
N.R. BORKAR, JJ.  
DATE : JANUARY 27, 2020.**

**P.C.**

1] Learned advocate appearing for respondent Nos.2 and 3 states that after framing a Scheme, elections of Wakf Board have already been held.

2] Counsel for the petitioner does not have any specific instructions on these developments.

3] As statement is being made by responsible officer, we accept it. However, there is some delay.

4] In the present situation, on account of delay, we are not inclined to intervene in contempt jurisdiction. Keeping all other rights and contentions of the petitioner open, we dispose of present contempt petition.

**(N.R. BORKAR, J.)**

**(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)**