

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.658 OF 2017

Sharda Ratnam	...	Applicant
Versus		
Pagarav Chaitanyakumar Patel & Anr.	...	Respondents

None for the Applicant.

Mr.S.V.Gavand, the Additional Public Prosecutor for the Respondent/State.

CORAM : A.M.BADAR J.

DATED : 7th JANUARY 2020.

P.C. :

1 None for the applicant.

2 It is seen that even on earlier occasions, the learned
Counsel for the applicant was not present. The application was
dismissed for want of prosecution. Thereafter, it was again
restored by Order dated 28th June 2018.

3 However, thereafter also the learned Counsel for the
petitioner is not present. It appears that the learned Counsel for
the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the instant
application.

4 The application is, therefore, again rejected for want of prosecution.

(A.M.BADAR, J.)