

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2477 OF 2018

Pawan Ramesh Alreja and anr. ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and anr. ... Respondents

Adv Shantanu Phanse for the petitioners.

Dr. F.R. Shaikh, APP for the State.

Adv Vriddhi Maria for respondent no. 2.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
NITIN R. BORKAR, JJ.
DATE : JANUARY 06, 2020

P.C.:

Petitioners are present with their advocate. Respondent no.2 complainant is present with her advocate and learned APP appears for respondent no. 1.

2. The matrimonial dispute between the parties led to FIR under section 498A, 406 and 34 IPC. The mediation failed and during the pendency of the present matter, parties have amicably settled the dispute.

3. Accordingly affidavit in support of the consent terms is tendered by respondent no. 2 today. Petitioners have tendered consent terms.

4. The marriage between the parties is dissolved on 31/12/2019 by the Family Court, Bandra in Petition No. 432 of 2017. The amount of Rs. 6 lacs was then made over to respondent no. 2. Today the demand draft for balance amount of Rs. 6 lacs has been made over to her in court.

5. In view of these developments and joint request, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(NITIN R. BORKAR, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)