

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 318 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 11874 OF 2012

Mrs. Minakshi Hiranman Nandre ... Petitioner

Vs

1 State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

Ms. Kumud Bhatia for the Petitioner.

Mrs. R.A. Salunkhe, AGP, for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 - State.

***CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
R.I. CHAGLA, JJ.***

TUESDAY, 04TH FEBRUARY, 2020

P.C. :

1 Having heard Ms. Bhatia, we do not think that a case of civil contempt is made out simply because an earlier petition was filed alleging civil contempt.

2 The second contempt petition would not lie merely because a fresh petition was filed after the writ petition in which the order of which contempt is complained of has been passed. We do not think that contempt petition can be used to derive

substantive benefits. Neither can we add to nor we can take away from the order of which contempt is complained of. The order, read as it is, does not issue any command to grant any relief in favour of the petitioner.

3 This civil contempt petition is, therefore, entirely misconceived and is dismissed.

R.I. CHAGLA, J.

S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.