

nsc.

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION NO.2211 OF 2020

Indramal Ramlalji Rathod
@ Indramal Ramanlal Shah

... Petitioner

Versus

Suresh Ramlalji Sanghavi (Rathod) and Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Anand Pai a/w Afrin Dalal, Ms. Aditi Hambarde i/b Haresh Mehta and Co., for the Petitioner.

Mr. P. D. Dalvi, for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. V. D. Patil, i/b Mr. Yogesh Patil, for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

DATE : 3rd MARCH, 2020

P.C. :

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents state that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into consent terms. Learned Counsel have tendered the Consent Terms entered into between the petitioner and the respondents. The said Consent Terms are taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

2. Both the petitioner and the respondents are present in Court. and have identified their signatures as it appears on the said Consent Terms.

They are identified by their respective counsel and have also given proof of their identity. The identity proofs are also taken on record.

3. In view of the consent terms, the District Court to decide the pending Miscellaneous Appeal, on its own merits, within a period of 8 weeks from today.

4. Parties to comply with the terms and conditions set out in the Consent Terms.

5. Petition is accordingly disposed of on the aforesaid terms.

6. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.