

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.113 OF 2019
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1777 OF 2016**

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.114 OF 2019
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1778 OF 2016**

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.115 OF 2019
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1779 OF 2016**

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.116 OF 2019
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1780 OF 2016**

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.117 OF 2019
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1781 OF 2016**

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.118 OF 2019
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1782 OF 2016**

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.119 OF 2019
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1783 OF 2016**

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 120 OF 2019
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1784 OF 2016**

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 121 OF 2019
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1785 OF 2016**

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 122 OF 2019
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1786 OF 2016**

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 123 OF 2019
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1787 OF 2016**

Abhijit Subhash Gaikwad & Anr. .. Applicants

V/s.

State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

...

Ms. Priyanka H. Chavan, Advocate for Applicant in Criminal Applications.

Mr. Sidharth R. Karpe, Advocate for the Applicants in Anticipatory Bail Applications.

Mr. S. S. Pednekar, APP for the Respondent – State.

...

CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.

DATE : 30th January, 2020

PC :

1. These applications are preferred for seeking modification of order dated 26th October, 2016. Interim order dated 26th October, 2016 passed in anticipatory bail applications, which was confirmed by order dated 6th February, 2017.

2. In the event of arrest, the applicants in all these applications were directed to be released on bail on their furnishing PR. bond of Rs. 25,000/- each in each of the crimes with one or two local sureties in the like amount.

3. The applicant is apprehending arrested in different complaints

which were connected and investigated by E.O.W. Pune.

4. Although the applications were allowed on 6th February, 2017 apparently the applicants are not yet arrested. It is pointed out that the main accused is absconding, therefore, investigation is pending. The applicants however apprehend that in the event of arrest would be required to the applicants execute bail bond by furnishing several sureties. The applicants therefore seek modification of the said order granting anticipatory bail qua number of sureties.

5. Anticipatory bail applications were preferred in eleven complaints. The applicants would be required to furnish one or two sureties in each crime. The applicants had expressed hardship in according multiple sureties. Considering the submission, order passed by this Court dated 26th October, 2016 and the order dated 6th February, 2017 stands modified. In the event of arrest of applicants in each of the crime which was subject matter of the aforesaid anticipatory bail applications, the applicants be released on bail on their furnishing cash security in the sum of Rs.10,000/- each in each crime.

6. Applications are stand disposed of accordingly.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)