

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 497 OF 2020**

Jignesh S. Chitroda & ors. ... Petitioners
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra and ors ... Respondents

Mr. Sachin V. Takkar for the Petitioners.
Mr. S.R. Shinde, APP for the Respondent – State.
Mr. Amogh Khadye i/b Mr. A.G. Dolas for Respondent No.3.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
N.R. BORKAR, JJ.
DATE : FEBRUARY 20, 2020.**

P.C.

1] Respondent No.3-complainant is present with her advocate. The petitioners are present with their advocate. APP appears for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

2] Parties are jointly requesting for quashing of FIR and consequential criminal case.

3] FIR is under sections 498-A, 406 and 34 of I.P.C.

4] Matrimonial discord between the parties is amicably settled. The consent terms are accordingly, filed before this Court.

5] Respondent No.3 states that she has agreed to those terms.

6] The consent terms give custody of children to petitioner No.1. Respondent No.3 is not entitled to any amount. She has stated that she has not claimed any ornaments.

7] As respondent No.3 has agreed to terms of settlement and is making request jointly with the petitioners, we make Rule absolute in terms of prayer clause "1.". Consequential charge-sheet dated 27th April 2019 is also quashed and set aside.

8] Accordingly, Rule is made absolute.

(N.R. BORKAR, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)