

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 115 OF 2020

Ankush Laxman Hajare and Ors. ... Applicants

Versus

State of Maharashtra and anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Datta Mane for the applicants.

Adv Maruti Sarkar for respondent no. 2.

Dr. F.R. Shaikh, APP for the respondent State.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
NITIN R. BORKAR, JJ.
DATE : FEBRUARY 07, 2020

P.C.:

Petitioner no.1 husband, petitioner no. 2 his father, petitioner no. 3 his mother are present with their advocate. Respondent no.2 wife is present with her advocate.

2. Parties are jointly requesting for quashing of the chargesheet and FIR under section 324, 323, 504 and 34 of Indian Penal Code.

3. The parties in the petition state that the petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 are separate from petitioner nos.2 and 3, and are residing together at different address.

4. Respondent no.2 has tendered separate affidavit in support

of these facts. Orally also respondent no. 2 has given no objection.

5. In the light of these developments and joint request, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(NITIN R. BORKAR, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)