

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 168 OF 2020

Mr. Sanjay @ Hiralal Vishwakarma and Ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Adv Farhad Panthaki for the petitioners.

Adv Ekta Chaurasia for respondent no. 2.

Mr.K.V. Saste, APP for the respondent State.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
NITIN R. BORKAR, JJ.
DATE : FEBRUARY 14, 2020

P.C.:

Respondent no. 2/complainant has given no objection. He is a student who has secured about 72% marks and orally informs the court that in the last year, he will secure about 80% marks. Petitioners are present with their advocate and they have tendered apology. Respondent no.2 has also filed separate affidavit giving no objection.

2. It appears that the parties reside in the neighbourhood and due to some misunderstanding, the dispute arose.

3. In this situation, subject to both petitioners jointly paying an amount of Rs.10,000/- to the Police Welfare Fund of the State of

Maharashtra within two weeks, from today, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(NITIN R. BORKAR, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)