

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION NO.3972 OF 2019

Tatyaba S. Bhosale & Ors. .. Petitioners
Versus
State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

***ALONG WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.3963 OF 2019***

Manoj Manik More & Ors. .. Petitioners
Versus
State of Maharashtra
through The School Education & Sports Dept. & Ors. .. Respondents

***ALONG WITH
WRIT PETITION (ST.) NO.9221 OF 2019***

Sunanda S. Vajale .. Petitioner
Versus
State of Maharashtra
through The School Education & Sports Dept. & Ors. .. Respondents

***ALONG WITH
WRIT PETITION (ST.) NO.9218 OF 2019***

Sudhakar S. Gandhale & Ors. .. Petitioners
Versus
State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Ms.Bhagyashree Mangale for the petitioners in all petitions.
Mr.V.M. Mali, AGP for the respondent-State in WP/3972/19.
Mr.A.P. Vanarase, AGP for State in WP/3963/19.
Mr.R.P. Kadam, AGP for State in WPST/9221/19.
Mr.P.G. Sawant, AGP for the respondent-State in WPST/9218/19.

***CORAM : R.D. DHANUKA
MADHAV JAMDAR, JJ.
DATE : 1st DECEMBER 2020***

P.C.:-

. It is a common ground that the application for approval of transfer of the petitioners from unaided school to aided school is pending before the authority for quite sometime.

2. The petitioners would be at liberty to file additional representation and shall indicate the effect of Rule 41A inserted in the year 2020. Such representation shall be filed within one week from today. Authority shall decide the pending proposal after considering the additional representation within four weeks from the date of filing of such additional representation as well as the other submissions produced on record.

3. In view of the statement made by the learned AGP, all writ petitions are disposed of by directing that said applications be disposed of in accordance with law. If any adverse decision is taken against the petitioners by the respondent, the petitioners would be at liberty to file appropriate proceedings.

4. All writ petitions are disposed of in aforesaid terms. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any views on merit of the matter. All contentions of both the parties are kept open.

MADHAV JAMDAR, J.

R.D. DHANUKA, J.