

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.614 OF 2020

LATA KISHOR KHANDALE AND ANR.)...APPLICANTS

V/s.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA)...RESPONDENT

Mr.V.V.Purwant, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr.S.V.Gavand, APP for the Respondent - State.

CORAM : A. M. BADAR, J.

DATE : 17th MARCH 2020

P.C. :

1 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail in Crime No.47 of 2020 for offences punishable under Sections 324, 327, 323, 504 and 506 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

2 Heard the learned counsel for the applicant at sufficient length of time. He argued that on 4th February 2020, the applicant no.1 has lodged a First Information Report (FIR) against Rakesh Kanchan, who is the First Informant in the instant case. It is

argued that as applicant no.1 had taken hand loan from some person and as lot of amount was extracted towards principal amount as well as interest, she had lodged FIR against the First Informant in the instant case so also other accused persons. By drawing my attention to the FIR dated 4th February 2020 lodged by Rakesh Kanchan it is argued that except the offence punishable under Section 327 of the Indian Penal Code, rest of the offences are bailable in nature.

3 The learned APP opposed the application.

4 I have considered the submissions so advanced and also perused the FIR lodged by Rakesh Kanchan. In the said FIR, it is categorically averred that applicant no.1 Lata had assaulted him by means of a knife and her husband i.e. applicant no.2 Kishor Khandale had snatched a gold chain from him. It is further averred that applicant no.1 Lata had snatched an amount of Rs.9,500/- from the pocket of the First Informant Rakesh Kanchan.

5 Considering the averments made in the FIR, no case for pre-arrest bail is made out.

6 The application is rejected.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)