

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 15523 OF 2019

Rohini Ravaji Mugale @
Rohini Mangesh Bhosale

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, Advocate h/f Shri Pratap G. Rodge,
Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. Vaishali N. Jadhav Patil, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.
DATE : 06TH FEBRURY 2020.**

FINAL ORDER :

. We have heard Mr. Deshpande, the learned advocate for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for respondents/State.

2. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner has canvassed submissions on merits, considering the observations of the Tribunal that order of termination is implemented, it would not be appropriate to pass any further orders in the matter.

3. Mr. Deshpande, the learned counsel has made submission upon the observations of the Tribunal.

4. Needless to state that, said observations are *prima facie* in nature. The Tribunal will have to consider the matter on merits considering the entire record at the time of final disposal of the original application independently.

5. The Tribunal has observed that, it may take up the case for final disposal. Considering the gamut of the dispute, the Tribunal may endeavour to dispose of the original application filed by the petitioner on its own merits, expeditiously and if possible within a period of six (06) months from today. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Feb. 20