

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

4 WRIT PETITION NO.758 OF 2019

LATE LATIFKHAN KALEKHAN THROUGH LRS 1 SHRI IBRAHIM
KHAN LATIF KHAN AND ORS. ..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Madhav M. Bhokarikar, Advocate for the
Petitioners.

Mrs. M. A. Deshpande, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. Subhash S. Chillarge, Advocate for Respondent
No.4.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATED : 12th FEBRUARY, 2020.

PER COURT:-

1. The petitioners seek rental compensation.
2. It appears that the application was filed with Acquiring Body. It is S.L.A.O. who decides the aspect of the rental compensation.
3. According to Mr. Chillarge, learned counsel for the Acquiring Body, the possession has been taken after Award. As such, petitioners are not entitled for the rental compensation.
4. In case, petitioners file application with S.L.A.O., it is for the S.L.A.O. to take

decision after hearing the petitioner, Acquiring Body and State.

5. In light of that, petitioners are at liberty to file an application before the S.L.A.O. In case, such an application is filed, the S.L.A.O. would take decision after hearing the petitioner, Acquiring Body and the State with regard to the right of the petitioner to claim rental compensation.

6. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE