

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

1 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6536 OF 2016
IN APEAL/294/2014 WITH APEAL/294/2014 WITH
APPLN/6536/2016 IN APEAL/294/2014 WITH
APEAL/392/2014 WITH APPLN/6540/2016 IN
APEAL/392/2014 WITH APPLN/6540/2016 IN
APEAL/392/2014

DEVIDAS MARUTI JADHAV
VERSUS
DHONDIBA DEVRAO WADWALE AND OTHERS

...

None appears for the applicant.
Mr. S.D. Ghayal, A.P.P. for the respondent – State.

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
B.U. DEBADWAR, JJ.

DATE : 2 November 2020.

ORDER :

1. We find that Shri A.M. Gaikwad, learned Advocate has been consistently remaining absent. This matter was posted today for orders. Even today, he is absent.
2. We have perused the applications filed by the applicant wherein a request is put-forth that the applicant may be permitted to assist the learned Prosecutor in opposing Criminal Appeal No. 294 of 2014 and Criminal Appeal No.392 of 2014. We find that the appellants in the appeals seek to challenge their conviction vide judgment dated 23.05.2014

delivered by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kandhar in Sessions Case No. 23 of 2004.

3. In view of the above, both the applications are allowed.

4. List the Criminal Appeal No. 294 of 2014 and Criminal No. 392 of 2014 for final hearing on 3rd December 2020.

(B.U. DEBADWAR, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

VD_Dhirde