

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3104 OF 2018

1. Ravindra Devising Patil
occ. Service.

2. Devising Tanga Patil
occ. Nil

3. Sunita Devising Patil
occ. Household

All 1 to 3 r/o Shivtej nagar
Pimple Saudagar, near Kate Dairy
Pune 27.

4. Archana Chandrasing Patil
age 33 years, occ. Household
r/o Samrat Nagar, Budhha Vihar
Pune.

5. Kavita Punju Patil
age 30 years, occ. Household

6. Punju Harsing Patil
age 35 years, occ. Service

Applicants 5 and 6 r/w House No. 1574
Shivani, Taluka Bhadgaon
Dist. Jalgaon

Presently residing at 3-330/1G/A
Choutopal, Naignda
District Hyderabad
Andhra Pradesh

7. Nayan Bharat Thakre
age 28 years, occ. Household

8. Bharat Raghunath Thakre

age 34 years, occ. Business.

Applicant Nos. 7 and 8 r/o At post Kasbe
13/2 Sukane, Tq. Niphad
Dist. Nashik

Applicants

Versus

1. State of Maharashtra
Through Public Prosecutor
\ High Court, Aurangabad.
2. Lalita Ravindra Patil
age 25 years, occ. Household
r/o Kalyane Hole
Tq. Dharangaon 425105
District Jalgaon 425001.

Respondents

Mr. Girish Nagori, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. K.S. Patil, APP for respondent No. 1.
Mr. M.G. Patil, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : T.V. Nalawade &
M.G. Sewlikar, JJ.**

DATE : 31st January, 2020.

JUDGMENT : (PER T. V. NALAWADE, J.)

1. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith.
2. By consent, heard both sides for final disposal.
3. This proceeding is filed for relief of quashing of

proceeding of RCC no. 49/2015 pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Dharangaon, Dist. Jalgaon, filed under section 498-A, 323, 504, 506 r/w section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. During argument, learned counsel for applicants and learned counsel for respondent No. 2-informant submitted that the parties have settled the dispute. It is submitted that they have obtained divorce by mutual consent. The wife has given consent for giving relief and she has consented that she does not want to give evidence against the present applicants.

5. In view of these circumstances, this Court holds that the relief needs to be given to the applicants. Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'A'. Rule made absolute in above terms.

(M. G. SEWLIKAR)
Judge

(T.V. NALAWADE)
Judge

dyb