

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**910 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11959 OF 2019
IN FA/3388/2016**

AJITSINH SAHEBRAO RAJE .. Applicant
VERSUS
GMIDC THR THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
LIFT IRRIGATION DIVISION, OSMANABAD
AND ORS .. Respondents

...
Advocate for Applicant : Shri Mobin H. Shaikh h/f.
Shri Vikram R Dhorde

Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Smt Kalpalata Patil
Bharaswadkar

AGP for Resondent state : Shri S.N. Kendre
Advocate for Respondent Nos.5 to 7 : Shri V.V. Ingale

...
WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11961 OF 2019
IN FA/668/2018**

AJITSINH SAHEBRAO RAJE (NIMBALKAR) .. Applicant
VERSUS
GMIDC AURANGABAD THR THE EXE.
ENGINEER AND ORS .. Respondents

...
Advocate for Applicant : Shri Mobin H. Shaikh h/f.
Shri Vikram R Dhorde

AGP for Respondent – State : Shri S.N. Kendre
Advocate for Respondents No.5 to 7 : Shri V.V. Ingale

...
WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11960 OF 2019
IN FA/669/2018**

VENKATESH SAHEBRAO RAJE (NIMBALKAR) .. Applicant
VERSUS
GMIDC AURANGABAD THR THE EXE.
ENGINEER AND ORS .. Respondents

....

Advocate for Applicant : Shri Mobin H. Shaikh h/f.
 Shri Vikram R Dhorde
 AGP for Respondent – State : Shri S.N. Kendre
 Advocate for Respondents No.5 to 7 : Shri V.V. Ingale

....
WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.12032 OF 2019
 IN FA/3387/2016**

VENKATESH SAHEBRAO RAJE (NIMBALKAR) .. Applicant
 VERSUS
 GMIDC THR THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 LIFT IRRIGATION DIVISION, OSMANABAD
 AND ORS .. Respondents

...
 Advocate for Applicant : Shri Mobin H. Shaikh h/f.
 Shri Vikram R Dhorde

Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Smt Kalpalata Patil
 Bharaswadkar

AGP for Resondent state : Shri S.N. Kendre
 Advocate for Respondent Nos.5 to 7 : Shri V.V. Ingale

...

**CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
 B. U. DEBADWAR, JJ.**

Date : 28-02-2020

PER COURT :

1. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that, the respondents in Special Civil Suit No.106 of 2011 *inter se* had entered into a compromise on 30-11-2012 and according to the terms of the same, the applicants are entitled to share in the compensation amount. Learned counsel further states that, he is appearing for the original claimants as well as added parties to the first appeals and that, the original claimants do not have any

particular resistance to offer for withdrawal in proportion of their share as per compromise, by the applicants from the amount allowed to be withdrawn by this court.

2. In view of aforesaid, present applicants are allowed to withdraw, in proportion of their share from the amount allowed to be withdrawn by this court pursuant to order dated 31-07-2018 in First Appeals No.669 of 2018, 3387 of 2016, 668 of 2018 and 3388 of 2016.

3. Learned counsel for respondents submit that, they have verified the position.

4. Civil applications disposed of accordingly.

(**B. U. DEBADWAR, J.)**

(**SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.)**

...