

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 11318 OF 2019

Bhagwan Gambhir Patil and Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr G.L. Deshpande, Advocate for Petitioner
Smt V.N. Patil-Jadhav, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2
Mr D.P. Bakshi, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 6 and 7

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA
AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 9th MARCH, 2020

PER COURT :

1. Mr Bakshi, the learned Counsel for respondent Nos. 6 and 7 submits that the impugned communication is cancelled by the respondents.
2. In view of that, Mr Deshpande, the learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the purpose of the writ petition would stand served.
3. In light of that, as the order impugned in the writ petition itself is cancelled, the same would not survive.
4. The writ petition as such is disposed of. No costs.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.] [S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

mta