

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.627 OF 2019  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO.7180 OF 2016**

Harish s/o Narayan Shete,  
Age: 40 years, Occ: At present Nil,  
R/o. Near Suryanarayan Temple,  
Dilip Gas Galli, Chalisgaon,  
Taluka Chalisgaon, Dist. Jalgaon

PETITIONER

**VERSUS**

1. The State of Maharashtra  
through its Secretary,  
Education Department,  
Mantralaya, Mumbai
2. Nitin Bachhav,  
Age: 45 years, Occ: Service,  
as Incharge Deputy Director of  
Education, Office of the Deputy  
Director of Education, Nashik  
R/o. Nashik, Taluka and  
District Nashik.

RESPONDENTS

Mr Govind Kulkarni, Advocate h/f Mr R.S. Deshmukh,  
Advocate for the petitioner;  
Mr S.G. Sangle, A.G.P. for respondent/State;

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &  
ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.**

**DATED : 13th JANUARY, 2020**

**ORAL ORDER:**

In response to the simple notice issued to respondent No.2 by order dated 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2019, affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent No.2 through Mr. Nitin Popatrao Bacchav, Incharge Deputy Director of Education, Nashik Region, Nashik. The deponent had tendered unconditional apology for the delay. At the outset, in the reply, in paragraph-4, sequence of the proceedings are referred to, namely; the petitioner submitted representation to the authority on 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2018, hearing was scheduled on receipt of the representation on 29<sup>th</sup> June, 2019 and on 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2019 upon hearing the parties, the Deputy Director of Education, Nashik Region, Nashik passed the order and copy of the order is also placed on record annexed to the affidavit in reply.

2. Perusal of the aforesaid order shows that the submissions were advanced on behalf of the petitioner as

well as the headmaster of the school. By assigning reasons, the order dated 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2019 is passed.

3. The deponent specifically states that the delay occurred in decision is due to administrative grounds and unconditional apology is tendered for delay caused. Accepting apology and considering the representation of the petitioner is decided, it can safely be stated that the order of this Court is now duly complied with. The contempt petition is accordingly purged and disposed of.

**[ANIL S. KILOR, J.]**

**[PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.]**