

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2810 OF 2019

Gangadhar s/o. Rama Suskire & Ors.

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

....Respondents.

Mr. U.B. Bilolikar, Advocate for applicants.

Mr. R.D. Sanap, APP for respondent/State.

Mr. A.S. Salve, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE AND
M.G. SEWLIKAR, JJ.**

DATED : 08/10/2020.

SPEAKING TO MINUTES :

1. During speaking to minutes, the learned counsel for applicants submits that due to oversight in operative part of the decision dated 24.9.2020, the relief granted is mentioned in respect of prayer clause C, when it ought to have been prayer clause C-1. He submits that as chargesheet was filed, proceeding was amended and relief was added as C-1.

2. Correction is to be made accordingly. In place of relief clause C, relief is to be mentioned as C-1. Corrected copy is to be issued. Notice of motion is disposed of.

[M.G. SEWLIKAR, J.]

[T.V. NALAWADE, J.]

ssc/