

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

14. WRIT PETITION NO. 1392 OF 2020

Chitralkha Pandurang Jarad and another

...Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

...Respondents

Mr. J.M. Murkute, Advocate for petitioners

Mr. S.K. Tambe, Asstt. Govt. Pleader for Respts. No. 1 to 3

CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO, J.

DATE : 29th January, 2020

PER COURT :

1. Heard. The order impugned is an interlocutory order, whereby the order of the Collector, Jalna, which disqualifies respondents No.4 to 7, is stayed.

2. Rather than considering the legality of the interlocutory order, vide letter No. MUN-2019/Pra.Kra.00/Navi-15 dated 29/07/2019, which is *ex parte*, it would be appropriate if the respondent No.1 is directed to finally decide the appeal as expeditiously as possible and, in any event, within 90 days from the date of production of this order.

3. The writ petition is disposed of.

(ROHIT B. DEO)
JUDGE