

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

922 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10929 OF 2015
IN FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.25367 OF 2015

ANJALI RAJABHAU VADI (KULKARNI)
VERSUS
SUNITA DAMODHAR PISAL & OTHERS

...

Mr.R.P.Adgaonkar, Advocate for the applicant
- appellant.

Mr.M.P.Tripathi, Advocate for the respondent
nos.1 to 4.

Mr.M.M.Ambhore, Advocate for the respondent
no.5.

...

CORAM : V.L.ACHLIYA,J.

DATE : 21.02.2020

P.C.

1] The applicant has moved this application seeking condonation of 936 days delay in filing appeal for the reasons set out in detail in the application.

2] Heard learned counsel for the applicant-appellant and the respondents.

3] On due consideration of the submissions advanced in the light of unchallenged and uncontroverted pleadings made in the application assigning cause for condonation of delay, I am of the view that delay deserves to be condoned. Arising out of

the same accident, two other Appeals have been filed and delay has been condoned in those Appeals. The reasons assigned for condonation of delay is more than sufficient to condone the delay. I am, therefore, inclined to allow the application. Accordingly, application is allowed in terms of prayer clause-B. Delay condoned.

4] Appeal be registered. Office objections, if any, be removed within two weeks from the date of registration of the Appeal.

5] Civil Application is disposed of in above terms.

[V.L.ACHLIYA]
JUDGE

DDC