

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.11198 OF 2019

Vitthal Ganpathrao Polsane
deceased through his L.R.
Raju Vithal Polsane

.. Petitioner

Versus

Superintending Engineer,
M.S.E.D.C.L., Urban Division
Aurangabad and ors.

.. Respondents

Mr V.P Bakal, Advocate for petitioner
Mr A.R. Salve, Advocate for respondents

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 3.2.2020

ORAL ORDER :

1. Mr Salve, learned Counsel for respondents submits that the petitioner may approach the authorities with his grievance. The authorities may consider the grievance on its own merits and may issue the fresh bill on the basis of the facts and circumstances.
2. In light of that the petitioner may approach the respondents-authorities and make a representation. The respondents-authorities shall consider the representation of the petitioner on its own merits expeditiously, preferably within two months from the date the petitioner makes the application.
3. In case the decision of the respondents-authorities is adverse to the petitioner, then the petitioner may agitate against the same on its own merits before the appropriate forum. In that event, all the contentions are kept open.

4. Writ Petition disposed of. No costs.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

vvr