

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

928 WRIT PETITION NO.8146 OF 2020

ASHOK VASANTRAO KULKARNI AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND
931 WRIT PETITION NO.8149 OF 2020

NARAYAN BHANUDAS MANE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND
932 WRIT PETITION NO.8150 OF 2020

SHARAD VASANTRAO KULKARNI AND TOHERS
VERSUS
THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr More Abhijit S.
AGP for Respondents: Mr. S. P. Tiwari, Mr. K. N.Lokhande
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Mr. A. B.Katneshwarkar

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.

DATE : 10th December, 2020

ORDER:

1. Mr. More, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Ward formation has been done erroneously by the authority. The petitioners raised objection that the ward formation is not done in consonance with Rule 3 of the Bombay Village Panchayat (Numbers, Divisions Into wards and Reservation of Seats) Rules, 1966. The learned counsel submits that since many years, the petitioners are voters from Ward No.2. Now, this area is included in Wad No.3. The same is illegal. The learned counsel tried to demonstrate the uneven distribution of wards.
2. We have also heard learned A.G.Ps. for the State and Mr. Katneshwarkar, learned counsel for respondent No.1.

3. It would appear that the objections raised by the petitioner, except suggesting that their houses are placed in Ward No.3 illegally, no grounds are raised about the manner of illegality.
4. It would be inappropriate in writ jurisdiction to consider any other ground not raised in the objection.
5. In light of that, writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.)

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

JPC