

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**901 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.95 OF 2019
IN FAST/22044/2018**

G.M.I.D.C. LTD., THR EX. ENGINEER, MINOR IRRIGATION DIV.
LATUR AND ANR
VERSUS
SHESHRAO KISHANRAO GUTTE THR. HIS CONSENT JANARDHAN
PUNDALIK GUTTE

...
WITH CA/97/2019 IN FAST/22040/2018
WITH CA/99/2019 IN FAST/22047/2018
WITH CA/101/2019 IN FAST/22036/2018

...
Advocate for Applicant-Acquiring Body : Mr.P. P.More

...
CORAM : K.K.SONAWANE, J.

DATE: 6th February, 2020

PER COURT:-

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant No.1-Acquiring Body. Despite service of notice to the respondents-claimants, no one else appeared on their behalf. Perused the applications and relevant documents produced on record.
2. The applicant No.1-Acquiring Body moved the present applications for condonation of delay caused in filing the first appeals against impugned Judgment and Award passed by the learned Reference Court in Land Acquisition References filed under section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. According to learned counsel for the applicant No.1-Acquiring Body, impugned Judgment and Award passed by the Reference Court is erroneous, illegal and is not as per the provisions of Land Acquisition Act, 1894. Applicant No.1 is Body corporate having its independent entity. After procuring the funds for court fees appeals came to be filed, however, there is delay in filing the appeals. According to learned counsel for applicant No.1, delay so

caused is not intentional or deliberate, but caused due to compliance of official process.

3. As referred above, the respondents-original claimants did not turn up to this Court even after service of notice. Therefore, no opportunity is received for hearing of the respondents-claimants in these matters.

4. Considering the nature of the subject-matter and reasons mentioned in the applications, I do not find any impediment to allow the applications for condonation of delay. The public interest is involved into the matter. *It is settled law that liberal and pragmatic approach is essential to be adopted by avoiding pedantic approach while dealing with the application for consideration of delay. Therefore, it is imperative to grant some sort of latitude to the applicant No.1- Acquiring Body to present appeals by condoning the delay. It would not cause any prejudice or injustice to the respondents.* In contrast, it would sub-serve the purpose of substantial justice. Hence, the applications for condonation of delay deserve to be allowed. In sequel, the applications stand allowed in terms of prayer clause (B). Delay caused in filing appeals against the impugned Judgment and Award is hereby condoned. Registry to take requisite steps for registration of appeals. Civil applications stand disposed of accordingly.

5. On registration of appeals, issue notice of admission of appeals to the respondents.

6. After compliance of procedural formalities, list the appeals for further process in due course.

(K.K.SONAWANE)
JUDGE

SPT