

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 7737 OF 2020

Zamzam Bushra d/o Taher Moinuddin Akmal ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

Mr. Taher Ali Quadri, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. B. V. Virdhe, A.G.P. for respondent No.1/State

Mr. M. D. Narwadkar, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.

DATE : 12th November, 2020

ORDER:

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. It is contended that the petitioner had erroneously submitted online application for admission, showing at item No.10 "not domicile of Maharashtra". However, counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner indeed is domicile of Maharashtra. Her mother possesses a domicile certificate and there is property in joint name of her parents at Aurangabad in Maharashtra. It is further being referred to that the petitioner has been in Aurangabad all along since 2015 and is taking education and does not have any intention to move outside Maharashtra. He submits that though the petitioner was born in Saudi Arabia, her parents are indeed citizens of India.
3. In view of aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that correct form would be submitted by following procedure.

4. Learned advocate Mr. Narwadkar, on instructions from respondent No.3, submits that in case petitioner is enlisted for admission, at the time of admission in college she should substantiate her claim of being domicile of Maharashtra.
5. In view of aforesaid, the petition is disposed of.
6. All points are kept open for petitioner in case occasion arises.
7. Parties to act on downloaded/authenticated copy of the order.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.)

(SUNIL P. DESHMUKH J.)

JPC