

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

915 WRIT PETITION NO. 10676 OF 2017
WITH WP/6967/2018

KHANDESH SHIKSHAN MANDALS PRATAP COLLEGE AMALNER
THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN MOHAN BALAJI SATPUTE AND
ANOTHER
VERSUS
VINOD PRABHAKAR KOTHWALDE AND ANOTHER

.....
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Patil Vinod Prakash
Advocate for Respondent : Mr. Kshitij Surve
.....

CORAM : V. K. JADHAV, J.
DATED : 23rd JANUARY, 2020

PER COURT:-

1. By consent, these Writ Petition can be disposed off.
2. By consent, the petitioner management shall constitute a committee and complete the other formalities to commence with the *de novo* inquiry, as directed by the University Tribunal, within two months and the petitioner management shall complete the said inquiry in the prescribed period i.e. four months. Learned counsel for the respondent-employee also accepts that in terms of the ratio laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of ***Vidya Vikas Mandal and Another v. The Education Officer and Another***, reported in

(2007) 11 SCC 352 the employee could not be re-instated. The petitioner management to pass appropriate orders with regard to the suspension of the respondent/employee pending enquiry. The order passed by the University Tribunal is modified to the extent as above.

3. Both the Writ Petitions are accordingly disposed off.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)

vre/