

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.693 OF 2019
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1155/2017

JAGAN BINDAPRASAD SHUKLA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

Mr D.A. Naik, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr S.G. Sangle, AGP for Respondents-State
Mr J.R. Shah, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE
AND
ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.**

DATE : 16th JANUARY, 2020

PER COURT :

The learned Counsel Mr Shah, appearing for Respondent No. 3 - the Administrative Officer Mr C.A. Abhange in the Administration Department, Municipal Corporation, Aurangabad made a statement before this Court that, on instructions provided by Mr Abhange, who is personally present in this Court, as well, the Law Officer of the Municipal Corporation, who is also personally present in this Court that due to some administrative difficulties, though the representation of the petitioner is decided, same was not communicated to the petitioner. As such, the necessary steps so as to communicate

the decision to the petitioner would be taken within two weeks. The statement made before this Court on the instructions of respondent No. 3, who is personally present is accepted as an undertaking before this Court. In view of this statement, which is accepted as an undertaking before this Court, the grievance of the petitioner no more survives. Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of.

[ANIL S. KILOR]
JUDGE

[PRASANNA B. VARALE]
JUDGE

mta