

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

927 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9946 OF 2019
IN FAST/17677/2019

THE EX. ENGINEER, LATUR MINOR IRRIGATION (L.M.I) DIVISION
LATUR AND ORS
VERSUS
TUKARAM KASHINATH PITLE

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Sujeet G. Karlekar
AGP for co-applicants No.2 and 3: Mr. P.M. Kulkarni
Advocate for respondents-claimants: Mr. P.P. More

...
WITH CA/9948/2019 IN FAST/17762/2019
WITH CA/9950/2019 IN FAST/17759/2019

..
CORAM : K.K. SONAWANE, J.

DATED : 05th MARCH, 2020.

ORDER :-

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant - Acquiring Body as well as learned AGP for co-applicants No. 2 and 3 and learned counsel for respondents - original claimants.
2. The applicant No. 1 - Acquiring Body moved the present applications for condonation of delay in filing the first appeals against impugned Judgment and Award passed by the learned Reference Court in Land Acquisition References filed under section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. According to learned counsel for the applicant-Acquiring Body, impugned Judgment and Award passed by the Reference Court is erroneous, illegal and is not as per the provisions of Land Acquisition Act, 1894. Applicant No. 1 is Body corporate having its independent entity. After procuring the funds for court fees appeals came to be filed, however, there is delay in filing the appeals. According to learned counsel for applicant, delay so caused is not intentional or deliberate, but caused due to compliance of official process.
3. The learned counsel for respondents-original claimants submits that there is inordinate delay, which has not been explained

satisfactorily, therefore, the same cannot be condoned and prayed for rejection of applications.

4. The learned AGP for co-applicants raised objection and submits that suitable orders may be passed.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submission of both learned counsel. Considering the nature of the subject-matter and reasons mentioned in the applications, I do not find any impediment to allow the applications for condonation of delay. The public interest is involved into the matter. It is settled law that liberal and pragmatic approach is essential to be adopted by avoiding pedantic approach while dealing with the application for consideration of delay. Therefore, it is imperative to grant some sort of latitude to the applicant - Acquiring Body to present an appeal by condoning the delay. It would not cause any prejudice or injustice to the respondent. In contrast, it would sub-serve the purpose of substantial justice. Hence, the applications for condonation of delay deserve to be allowed. In sequel, the applications stand allowed in terms of prayer clause (A). Delay caused in filing appeals against the impugned Judgment and Award is hereby condoned. Registry to take requisite steps for registration of appeal. Civil applications stand disposed of accordingly.

6. On registration of appeals, issue notice to the respondents. Mr. More, learned counsel waives service of notice for respondents-original claimants.

7. Meanwhile, call for record and proceedings from the concerned learned Reference Court.

8. List the appeals for admission or if possible for final hearing with consent of both sides on 28-04-2020.

[K. K. SONAWANE]
JUDGE