

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

909 PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.114 OF 2013

ANIL HIRALAL SHARMA
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : absent
AGP for Respondent-State:Mr. S.B. Yawalkar

...
CORAM : Z.A. HAQ & S. M. GAVHANE, JJ.
Date: March 12, 2020

...

PER COURT :-

1 The learned Advocate, who represented the petitioner, has expired. Notice issued to the petitioner to engage another advocate is served. Neither the petitioner, nor any advocate on his behalf, appeared when the matter is called out.

2 By this PIL, the petitioner has prayed that the respondents No.1 and 2 be directed to complete the work of ring-road in Ahmednagar city.

3 PIL is pending since 2013.

4 It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Perhaps it may be that, the construction of ring-road might have been complete. Hence, we see no reason to keep this PIL pending.

5 PIL is dismissed for want of prosecution.

6 In the circumstances, parties to bear their own costs.

(S. M. GAVHANE, J.)

(Z.A. HAQ, J.)