

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 5870 OF 2020

Surekha d/o. Ravji durpade and Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr B.B. Shinde, Advocate for Petitioners
Mr K.S. Patil, A.G.P. for Respondent-State

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 27th AUGUST, 2020

PER COURT :

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioners undertakes to deposit the deficit court fees of Rs. 250/- or or before 10th September, 2020.

2. The petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 claim to be the teachers in permanent service of petitioner No. 3 – Institution. They have put forth prayer clause (B) and (C) which read as under :-

“(B) To writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or direction in the like nature, the respondent No. 2 may kindly be directed to pay the salary of petitioner No. 1 and 2.

(C) To writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or direction in the like nature, the respondent no. 2 may kindly be directed not to hold the salary of the petitioner Nos. 1 & 2 without any justifiable reasons....”

3. The learned A.G.P. appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that respondent No. 2 would consider the issue and accordingly, an order would be passed on its own merits so as to do away with the injustice caused to petitioner Nos. 1 and 2.

4. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to cause a hearing on the grievance of the petitioners, as expeditiously as possible and in any case, on or before 11th September, 2020.

5. Thereafter, the competent authority would pass an order with regard to the payment of salary of the petitioner Nos. 1 and 2, if found eligible, on or before 25th September, 2020.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]

mta