

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

37 WRIT PETITION NO.6813 OF 2018

MADAN PANDIT MAHAJAN
VERSUS
SAJAN SNATOSH MALI AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Waramaa B.R.
AGP for Respondents: Mr. N.T. Bhagat
Advocate for Respondents 1 to 4, 6 & 7 : Mr. G.S. Rane

...
CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO, J.
Date: January 14, 2020

...
PER COURT :-

1 In view of objection taken that there is an alternate statutory remedy, I am not inclined to interfere in writ jurisdiction. Hence, this petition is disposed of.

2 I may record that the learned counsel for the respondents No.1 to 4 seriously objects to the continuation of the interim order. However, I note that the interim order is in operation since 25.10.2018. Considering the nature of the dispute and the fact that the interim order is operating since long, I am rejecting the objection of the learned counsel for the respondents. The interim order, therefore shall stand continued for six weeks.

3 Every contention in this petition and in rebuttal are kept open revision shall be decided on its own merit.

(ROHIT B. DEO, J.)