

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

967 WRIT PETITION (STAMP) NO.12553 OF 2020

DNYANESHWAR BALASAHEB SHILEDAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr Sudarshan J. Salunke
AGP for Respondents State: Mr S G Karlekar

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.

DATE : 30th July, 2020

ORDER:

1. Heard Mr. Salunke, learned advocate for the petitioner and learned A.G.P. for the respondents. Learned A.G.P. submits that the petitioner has remedy of appeal.
2. Vehicle is seized by the Circle Officer in July, 2020 i.e. after the amendment to Section 48 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code.
3. It cannot be said that seizure is by an incompetent person.
4. The petitioner has remedy of appeal.
5. In light of above, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail the remedy of appeal. No costs. All contentions are kept open.
6. If the petitioner prefers an appeal and moves an application for release of vehicle, the authority shall take decision upon the application for release of vehicle expeditiously preferably within 15 days from the date of filing.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.)

(S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.)

JPC