

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5168 OF 2020

Raju S/o Haribhau Jagtap

... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others

... Respondents.

....

Mr. S.S. Tope, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. A.S. Shinde, A.G.P. for State.

....

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATED : 30th JULY, 2020

PER COURT:-

. The petitioner challenges the order imposing the penalty so also the order of seizure of vehicle.

2. Learned A.G.P. states that the petitioner has an alternate remedy against imposition of penalty.

3. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that illegalities are committed, considering the fact that the petitioner has effective alternate remedy of appeal, we are not inclined to entertain the petition. Keeping all points open with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternate remedy, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

4. If the petitioner files appeal /interim application for release of vehicle, the appellate authority shall decide the interim application expeditiously and preferably within fifteen (15) days from filing of the appeal.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

SPR