

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 26 OF 2020

Mahatma Phule Bhajipala Phale Thok
Vikreta Dalal Sanghatana, Beed ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and another ... Respondents
....
Mr. Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen, Advocate for petitioner
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for the State
....

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
R. G. AVACHAT, JJ.**

DATED : 20th JULY, 2020

PER COURT :-

. We have heard Mr. Sayyed, learned Advocate for petitioner and the learned AGP.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the wholesale market of fruits and vegetables market at Khas bag, Beed, is not allowed to be opened, in spite of the State Government's decision of 'Mission Begin Again',

3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Beed, has filed affidavit in reply. Paragraph 7 of the affidavit-in-reply reads thus:

“7. I say and submit that, as soon as State Government issued order dt.19.05.2020, this deponent on dt.25.05.2020 issued order and clarified that, all orders issued during lockdown are set aside/cancelled. Thereafter, this deponent or any other local authority has not issued specific order to close vegetable/fruit market at Khas bag Beed, as alleged by petitioner.”

4. In view of the statement made in the affidavit-in-reply as quoted above, the petition does not have any cause to make the grievance. The PIL is therefore disposed of. No costs.

[R. G. AVACHAT, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

SMS