

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

88 WRIT PETITION NO.4974 OF 2020

KESHAV DATTATRAYA GAVHANE

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Aashish T. Jadhavar, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. K. N. Lokhande, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. A. S. Reddy, Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 and
3.

Mr. R. R. Bangar, Advocate for Respondent No.4.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATED : 21st OCTOBER, 2020.

PER COURT:-

1. We have heard Mr. Jadhavar, learned
counsel for the petitioner.

2. The preliminary objection is raised by
respondents even in the affidavit-in-reply that the
petitioner has alternate remedy of an Appeal.

3. As the petitioner has an alternate remedy,
we are not inclined to entertain the present writ
petition.

4. The writ petition is disposed of with
liberty to the petitioner to avail the alternate
remedy. All contentions are kept open. No costs.

5. In case, an Appeal is filed by the petitioner, the Appellate Authority shall consider the time spent in prosecuting the present writ petition.

6. In case, such an Appeal filed by the petitioner, the Appellate Authority may endeavour to decide the said Appeal expeditiously.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE