

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

57 WRIT PETITION NO.5149 OF 2020

SANGITA DHARAMSING ADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. S.S. Deshmukh, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. K.B. Jadhavar, AGP for respondent No.1

Mr. S.D. Kaldate, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4

...

CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.
DATE : 01st DECEMBER, 2020

PER COURT :

1 Mr. S.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner had been to the transferred place for joining. The Headmaster did not allow the petitioner to join.

2 Learned counsel for the respondents disputes the same.

3 The contention of the petitioner is that the grounds for the show cause notice and the impugned order are different. The impugned order is not in consonance with show cause notice.

4 The petitioner may represent with the respondent. The respondent shall consider the representation of the petitioner afresh on its own merits preferably within 15 days from the date of representation.

5 In deciding the representation afresh the impugned order would not be an impediment.

6 Writ petition disposed of. No costs.

(Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi, J.)

(S.V. Gangapurwala, J.)

agd