

fmp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3669 OF 2020
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO.9012 OF 2020

The Administrator, CIDCO ... APPLICANT

VERSUS

Gausia Begum Rehmatulla & ors. ... RESPONDENTS

.....
Shri A.S. Bajaj, Advocate for applicant
Shri Pranjal A. Bhandari, Advocate for R.No.1 to 3
Shri A.V. Deshmukh, A.G.P. for respondent No.4
.....

CORAM : **SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND**
 R. G. AVACHAT, JJ.

DATE : **15th OCTOBER, 2020**

ORDER :

1. Heard learned appearing counsel for the parties. There is resistance on behalf of claimants for condonation of delay. The reasons given under the application largely go uncontested and their veracity is not seriously under challenge. In the circumstances, having regard to the decision in the case of “*Collector, Land Acquisition, Anantnag V/s Ms. Katiji and others*” reported in AIR 1987 SC 1353, as also in the case of “*Esha Bhattacharjee V/s Managing Committee of Raghunathpur Nafar Academy and others*” reported in (2013) 12 Supreme Court Cases 649, and “*Dhiraj Singh (Dead) through Legal*

Representatives and others V/s State of Haryana and others”
reported in (2014) 14 SCC 127, the Civil Application is allowed in
terms of prayer clause (B) and disposed of.

[R. G. AVACHAT]
JUDGE

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

fmp/--