

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

941 WRIT PETITION NO.2687 OF 2020

BHAGUBAI NAMDEO PAUL
VERSUS
THE STATE CO OPERATIVE ELECTION AUTHORITY AND
OTHERS

**WITH
942 WRIT PETITION NO.2688 OF 2020**

PANDURANG NAMDEORAO PAUL
VERSUS
THE STATE CO OPERATIVE ELECTION AUTHORITY AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Suryawanshi Kamlakar J.
Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 : Mr. S.K. Kadam
...

**CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO, J.
DATED : 12th FEBRUARY, 2020.**

PER COURT:-

. These petitions challenge the acceptance of the nomination forms of respondent 5 in Writ Petition 2687 of 2020 and respondents 5 and 6 in Writ Petition 2688 of 2020.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since the candidates did not own land within the area of operation of the society, their nomination forms could not have been accepted.

3. The learned counsel for respondents 1 to 3 Shri S.K. Kadam points out that such objection is not raised before the election officer and is raised for the first time in

this Court.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that at the stage of scrutiny, the petitioners were not possessing relevant information.

5. Be that as it may, since there was no objections raised to the nomination form, the only course open for the petitioners is to challenge the result of the election in an election dispute under Section 91 of Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960, if deemed fit.

6. The petitions are dismissed.

(ROHIT B. DEO, J.)