

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**913 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1155 OF 2020
IN WP/992/2019**

**ANUSAYABAI BHIMRAO PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Irale Patil D. R.
AGP for Respondents / State : Mr. S. G. Karlekar
Advocate for Respondents No. 4 & 5 :
Mr. M. N. Navandar

...

**916 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1179 OF 2020
IN WP/2649/2019**

**SANDU THAMAJI MHASKE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Irale Patil D.r.
AGP for Respondents / State : Mrs. M. A. Deshpande
Advocate for Respondents No. 3 & 4 :
Mr. M. N. Navandar

...

**CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE: 31st JANUARY, 2020

PER COURT:

1. Mr. Navandar, the learned counsel opposes the applications for amendment on the ground that the same are not in tune with the reliefs claimed in the original writ petitions.

2. The writ petitions are at a nascent stage. The non-applicants will have every opportunity to controvert the averments sought to be introduced by way of an amendment. For the reasons stated in civil applications, civil applications are allowed. The applicants are permitted to amend the memo of the writ petitions.

3. Civil Applications are disposed of.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe