

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD  
**WRIT PETITION NO.1913 OF 2020**

Mohiniraj Women Self Help Group  
Borwadi, Tq. & District Beed

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

Mr.D.A.Mane, advocate holding for Mr.N.R.Pawade, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.S.K.Tambe, A.G.P. for Respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr.P.S.Dighe, advocate for Respondent No.4.

**CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO, J.**

**DATE : 28<sup>th</sup> January, 2020.**

**P.C. :**

1 Heard.

2 The petitioner is aspiring for allotment of a Fair Price shop.

3 Respondent No.4, who is presently running the Fair Price Shop, initiated proceedings challenging the notification whereby the process of allotment of Fair Price Shop was initiated. Ultimately, the dispute reached the Hon'ble Minister in Revision. The grievance of the petitioner is that, vide *ex parte* order dated 16.01.2020, the Hon'ble Minister has stayed the allotment process. The grievance is that the said order came to be passed although the petitioner filed a caveat on 15.01.2020,

which was ignored.

4           Considering, that the *ex parte* order is purely *ad interim*, I am not inclined to exercise writ jurisdiction. It would suffice if the Revision itself is decided expeditiously.

5           While the order impugned is not interfered with, the Respondent No.1 is directed to decide the Revision preferred by Respondent No.4, as expeditiously as possible and in any event, within thirty days from the date of appearance of the parties.

6           The petitioner and Respondent No.4 shall appear before the Hon'ble Minister on 07<sup>th</sup> February, 2020. Separate notice shall not be necessary.

7           Needless to say, every contention raised in the petition, including the maintainability of the Revision, is kept expressly open.

8           Writ Petition is disposed of in aforestated terms.

**ROHIT B. DEO  
JUDGE**

adb