

2 The learned Senior Advocate appearing for  
Respondents No.2 and 3 and learned advocate for Respondents

No.4, 6 & 7, oppose the request made on behalf of the petitioners and submit that heavy costs be imposed on the petitioners.

3           After considering rival submissions, we deem it appropriate to dispose of this PIL as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioners to approach the State Government with representation, if advised. If such representation is made, the State Government shall take decision on it within six months from the date of receipt of the representation. Needless to say that the petitioners shall send copy of the representation to Respondents No.2 to 7 by Registered Post A.D./Speed Post and the State Government shall also grant hearing to the petitioners as well as Respondents before taking any decision in the matter.

**S.M.GAVHANE**  
**JUDGE**  
adb

**Z.A.HAQ**  
**JUDGE**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4438 OF 2012 IN  
**PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.10 OF 2012**

Mango Growers Association,  
Aurangabad

Applicant

Versus

Sanjay s/o Tukaram Autade & othes

Respondents

Mr.Ajit Gaikwad Patil, advocate holding for Mr.U.M.Bopshetty,  
advocate for intervenor  
Mr.Pradeep Deshmukh, advocate holding for Mr.H.A.Joshi,  
advocate for Respondents No.1 & 2 (orig. petitioners).  
Mr.S.J.Salgare, AGP for Respondent No.3.  
Mr.V.D.Hon, Senior Counsel i/by Mr.A.V.Hon, advocate for  
Respondents No.4 & 5.

**CORAM : Z.A.HAQ AND  
S.M.GAVHANE, J J.**

**DATE : 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020.**

**P.C. :**

In view of disposal of the Public Interest Litigation  
itself, no orders are required to be passed on the Civil  
Application.

Civil Application is disposed of.

**S.M.GAVHANE  
JUDGE**

adb

**Z.A.HAQ  
JUDGE**