

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

19 WRIT PETITION NO.958 OF 2004

**PRAVINKUMAR JINABHAI PATEL & ANR
VERSUS
ANIL SHANKAR SAKHARE & ORS**

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Shri R.S.Wani h/f Shri A.S.Bajaj

...

20 WRIT PETITION NO.1238 OF 2004

**SUVARNA BAPURAO JADHAV
VERSUS
SHAKUNTALA MADHUKAR SHINDE**

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Shri Dhananjay P. Deshpande
Advocate for the Respondent : Shri S.S.Manale

...

21 WRIT PETITION NO.1454 OF 2004

**DADARAO KACHARU SABLE & ORS
VERSUS
GULAM GAUS BABU & ANR**

...

None for the Petitioners.
Advocate for the Respondents : Shri P.P.Mandlik

...

**25 WRIT PETITION NO.2328 OF 2004
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7837 OF 2004
IN WP/2328/2004**

**DHANRAJ MANIKCHAND BORA & ORS
VERSUS**

CHANDMAL CHANDANMAL BORA AND OTHERS

...

None for the Petitioners.

Advocate for Respondents 1 to 4 : Shri T.V.Bedre h/f Shri V.S.Bedre

...

CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE :- 07th March, 2020

Per Court:

1 These Writ Petitions pose a challenge to the interlocutory orders. The learned advocates for the respective parties in the respective petitions rely upon the status of the proceedings before the Courts below. As the original proceedings have been decided/ disposed off, these Writ Petitions have been rendered infructuous, is the statement made.

2 As such, these Writ Petitions are disposed off as being rendered infructuous. Rule is discharged.

3 The pending Civil Application, if any, shall stand disposed off.

kps

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)