

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1495 OF 2020

**SURESH MACHCHINDRA LAD
VERSUS
MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
THROUGH ITS VICE CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR
AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Shahane Parag P.

Advocate for Respondents No. 2 & 3 :

Mr. A. D. Wange

...

**CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE: 23rd JANUARY, 2020

PER COURT:

1. Mr. Shahane, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Wange, learned counsel for the respondents do not dispute that the petitioner has a remedy of appeal under the service regulations.

2. In the light of that, though Mr. Shahane, learned counsel for the petitioner sought to canvass submissions on merits, we are not inclined to consider the same.

3. Writ Petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail the alternate remedy. All contentions are kept open. No costs.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe