IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO. 7352 of 2020

==========

RAJUBHAI NARANBHAI MALI (VAGHRI)

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

==========

Appearance:
MANAN V PATEL(8059) for the Applicant(s) No. 1,2
MR JK SHAH APP (2) for the Respondent(s) No. 1
==========

CORAM:**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI**

Date : 25/06/2020

ORAL ORDER

1. The present application is filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for regular bail in connection with FIR being C.R. No.I-45/2018 registered with Raopura Police Station for offence under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 114 of the Indian Penal Code.
2. Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the applicants submits that considering the nature of the offence, the applicants may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.
3. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the respondent-State has opposed grant of regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.
4. Learned Advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties do not press for further reasoned order.
5. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and perusing the material placed on record and taking into consideration the facts of the case,

nature of allegations, gravity of offences, role attributed to the accused, without discussing the evidence in detail, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicants on regular bail.

6. This Court has considered following aspects,

(a) the applicants are in jail since 06.02.2020;

(b) the investigation is over and the chargesheet is filed;

(c) it is submitted by learned advocates for the applicants that it is not the case of the prosecution that the applicants have forged the documents and even otherwise, now the alleged forged documents are already in custody of the IO and, therefore, now the custodial interrogation of the applicants is not required as well as the remand period is also over;

(d) the case of the prosecution rest on documentary evidence;

(e) the case is triable by the Court of Magistrate;

(f) it is submitted by learned advocate for the applicants that there are no antecedent reported against the applicants.

Looking to overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I am inclined to consider the case of the applicant.

7. This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation**, reported in **[2012] 1 SCC 40.**

8. Hence, the present application is allowed. The

applicants are ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with FIR being C.R. No.I-45/2018 registered with Raopura Police Station on executing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) eacg with one surety each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that they shall;

[a] not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

[b] not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution;

[c] surrender passport, if any, to the lower court within a week;

[d] not leave the India without prior permission of the concerned trial court;

[e] mark presence before the concerned Police Station between 1st to 10th day of every English calendar month for a period of six months between 11:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m.;

[f] furnish the present address of residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence without prior permission of the concerned trial court;

9. The authorities shall adhere to its own Circular relating to COVID-19 and, thereafter, will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action

in the matter. Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

10. At the trial, the Trial Court shall not be influenced by the prima facie observations made by this Court in the present order.

11. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted. Registry to communicate this order to the concerned Court/authority by Fax or Email forthwith.

(VIPUL M. PANCHOLI, J.)

SRILATHA