

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 112 of 2020**

Mahamaya Bachhat Swa Sahayata Samuh Village Gudru (Khas), Sector Kamalpur, Block Wadrafnagar, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh. Through Its Presently President Smt. Leelawati W/o Ramchandar, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Village Gudru (Khas), Block Wadraf Nagar, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Woman And Child Development Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Commissioner, Woman And Child Development Department, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Commissioner Surguja Division Ambikapur, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh
4. The Collector (Woman And Child Development Branch), Balrampur-Ramanujganj, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
5. The District Programme Officer, Woman And Child Development Department, Composite District Office Building, 1st Floor, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
6. Chief Executive Officer, District Panchayat Balrampur, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
7. The Project Officer, Integrated Child Development, Project Raghunath Nagar, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
8. Maa Durga Mahila Swa Sahayta Samuh, Kamalpur Through Its President Mankunwar W/o Ramavtar Teli, Aged About 35 Years, R/o

Village Kamalpur, Block Wadraf Nagar, District Balrampur-
Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Patel, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. P. Acharya, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

13.01.2020

1. The challenge in the present Writ Petition is to the order dated 20.11.2019 passed by the Respondent No. 5 whereby the respondent No.8 has been selected as the agency for allotment of distribution of Ready to Eat materials in the Anganwadi Centre at Kamalpur Sector under District: Balrampur.

2. Perusal of the record would show that the scheme under which the project comes, itself has a mechanism of an appeal challenging the order passed by the District Programme Officer. The first appeal lies to the Collector as per clause 8.1 of the guidelines governing the field.

3. Given the facts that the scheme has a mechanism to challenge the order of the District Programme Officer, this Court is not inclined to entertain the writ petition at this juncture.

4. Accordingly, reserving the right of the petitioner to approach the Collector by way of preferring first appeal, the present Writ Petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**