

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No.4714 of 2019

Saraswati Mahila Swayam Sahayta Samuh Karamdiha (B) , Sector Syahi, Blolck Wadrafnagar, Tahsil Wadrafnagar, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh. Through Its Presently President Sujeeta D/o Rajesh Yadav Aged About 25 Years , R/o Village Karamdiha (B) , Tahsil Wadrafnagar , District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary , Woman And Child Development Department Mahanadi Bhawan , Mantralaya Nava Raipur , Atal Nagar Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Commissioner Woman And Child Development Department, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Commissioner Surguja Division , Ambikapur , District Surguja Chhattisgarh.
4. The Collector Woman And Child Development Branch Balrampur Ramanujganj District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
5. The District Programme Officer Woman And Child Development Department , Composite District Office , Building , 1st Floor, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
6. Chief Executive Officer District Panchayat , Balrampur , District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
7. The Project Officer Integrated Child Development , Project Wadrafnagar, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
8. Mahila Bachhat Swayam Sahayata Samuh Syahi Through Its President Sakunti Devi Wife Of Jagdhari , Aged About 50 Years, R/o Village Syahi, Police Station Basantpur , Block And Tahsil Wadrafnagar, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Pushpendra Patel, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Anshuman Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

02.01.2020

1. The challenge in the present Writ Petition is to the order dated 20.11.2019 passed by the Respondent No. 5 cancelling allotment of distribution of Ready to Eat in the Anganwadi Centre at Syahi Sector under District: Balrampur.
2. Perusal of the record would show that the scheme under which the project comes, itself has a mechanism of an appeal challenging the order passed

by the District Programme Officer. The first appeal lies to the Collector as per clause 8.1 of the guidelines governing the field.

3. Given the facts that the scheme has a mechanism to challenge the order of the District Programme Officer, this Court is not inclined to entertain the writ petition at this juncture.
4. Accordingly, reserving the right of the petitioner to approach the Collector by way of preferring first appeal, the present Writ Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

inder