

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No.4733 of 2019

Anup Mahila Swa Sahayta Samuh Kachhiya Sector Chalgali, Block Wadrafnagar, Tahsil Wadrafnagar, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh, Through Its Presently President Fulmanti Devi W/o Bandhu, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Village Kachhiya, Tahsil Wadrafnagar, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Woman And Child Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The Commissioner Woman And Child Development Department, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Commissioner Surguja Division, Ambikapur, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh.
4. The Collector (Woman And Child Development Branch), Balrampur-Ramanujganj, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.
5. The District Programme Officer Woman And Child Development Department, Composite District Office, Building, 1st Floor, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.
6. Chief Executive Officer District Panchayat, Balrampur, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
7. The Project Officer Integrated Child Development, Project, Wadrafnagar, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
8. Durga Mahila Swayam Sahayta Samuh, Devaipara Through Its President, Smt. Ramkumari W/o Ramnaraya, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Village Devaipara, Block And Tahsil Wadrafnagar, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Pushpendra Patel, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Anshuman Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

02.01.2020

1. The challenge in the present Writ Petition is to the order dated 20.11.2019 passed by the Respondent No. 5 cancelling allotment of distribution of Ready to Eat in the Anganwadi Centre at Chalgali Sector under District: Balrampur.
2. Perusal of the record would show that the scheme under which the project comes, itself has a mechanism of an appeal challenging the order passed

by the District Programme Officer. The first appeal lies to the Collector as per clause 8.1 of the guidelines governing the field.

3. Given the facts that the scheme has a mechanism to challenge the order of the District Programme Officer, this Court is not inclined to entertain the writ petition at this juncture.
4. Accordingly, reserving the right of the petitioner to approach the Collector by way of preferring first appeal, the present Writ Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

inder