

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 4758 OF 2019**

- Laxmi Mahila Swayam Sahayta Samuh, Kapildevpur, Centre 25, Sector Kerta, Block Balrampur, Tahsil Balrampur, District Balrampur - Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh, through its presently President Subaso Devi, wife of Moti Lal, aged about 38 years, R/o Village Kapildevpur, Police Station Chalgali, Janpad Panchayat Balrampur, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner**versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Woman and Child Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The Commissioner, Woman and Child Development Department, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Commissioner, Surguja Division, Ambikapur, District Surguja (CG)
4. The Collector (Woman and Child Development Branch), Balrampur-Ramanujganj, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.
5. The District Programme Officer, Woman and Child Development Department, Composite District Office Building, 1st Floor, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.
6. Chief Executive Officer, District Panchayat, Balrampur, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.
7. The Project Officer, Integrated Child Development Project, Balrampur, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.
8. Jyoti Mahila Sewa Sahayta Samuh, Kerta, Sector Kerta, through its President, Smt. Rajmatiya, wife of Mohan Lal, aged about 55 years, R/o Village Kerta, Police Station Chalgali, Block and Tahsil Balrampur, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Patel, Advocate.
For Respondents/State	:	Mr. Ayaz Naved, Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****06/01/2020**

1. Challenge in the present writ petition is to the order dated 20.11.2019 passed by respondent no.5 cancelling allotment of distribution of ready to eat food in the Anganwadi Centre of Sector Kerta, Block Balrampur, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj.
2. Perusal of the record would show that the scheme, under which the project comes, itself has a mechanism of an appeal challenging the order passed by the District Programme Officer. The first appeal lies to the Collector as per clause 8.1 of the guidelines governing the field.

3. Given the facts that the scheme has a mechanism to challenge the order of the District Programme Officer, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present writ petition at this juncture.

4. Accordingly, reserving the right of the petitioner to approach the Collector by way of preferring first appeal, the writ petition stands disposed of.

/Sharad/

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE